Introduction 000 Why is This Event Unique and Useful? 00 . Importance of the question in current context 2000 3. Analysis 4. C

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Appendix 0000

The Impact of Derivatives on Cash Markets: Evidence from the Introduction of Bitcoin Futures Contracts

Donghwa Shin UNC Kenan-Flagler Business School

Joint with Patrick Augustin, McGill University & CDI Alexey Rubtsov, Global Risk Institute

November 12, 2021 Conference on Derivatives and Volatility CBOE

Introduction	1. Why is This Event Unique and Useful?
000	000

This Paper

- How does the introduction of derivatives impact spot markets?
 - Without "frictions," derivatives are redundant
 - With "frictions," depends on whether derivatives are complements or substitutes
- Extensive debate in the literature that is far from settled.
 - · Conflicting evidence from various asset classes and sample periods
- Introduction of bitcoin futures by CBOE & CME in December 2017
 - Cryptocurrencies provide a unique setting to shed new light on the old question.
 - Studying the impact of regulated crypto derivatives on crypto cash markets is important in light of growing (unregulated) crypto derivatives markets.

Motivating Evidence from Bitcoin Futures Introduction



- Bitcoin is traded on multiple exchanges with multiple fiat currencies.
 - Significant price dispersion across exchanges (Makarov and Schoar, 2020)
- Correlation of returns of BTC-USD Correlation of returns of BTC-CCY (CCY: fiat currencies excluding USD).
- Following futures introduction, BTC-USD more "efficient" than BTC-GCY.

Introduction	1. Why is This Event Unique and Useful?
000	000

Importance of the question in current context

3. Analysis 00000000000 Appendia 0000

Roadmap

- 1. Why is this event (the introduction of bitcoin futures) unique and useful?
- 2. Broader importance of the question in current context
- 3. Analysis
 - 3.1 Hypothesis and Identification
 - 3.2 Measuring market characteristics
 - 3.3 Data
 - 3.4 Results
 - 3.5 Refinements
 - 3.6 Robustness
- 4. Conclusion

(1) Unique Cryptocurrency Trading Infrastructure

- Identical cryptocurrencies trade on multiple cryptocurrency exchanges
- Cryptocurrencies are fully fungible across trading venues
 - For example, investors who are trading bitcoins in an exchange in the U.S. can send them to an exchange in another country and sell them there.
- Rare features even in closely related markets
 - e.g. ADRs, Gagnon and Karolyi (2010)

Introduction 000 1. Why is This Event Unique and Useful? ○●○

Appendix 0000

(2) Selective Futures Introduction on BTC-USD

- CBOE and CME introduced futures only on BTC-USD
- No futures on other BTC-CCY exchange rate pairs (e.g., BTC-EUR)
- Exploit both across-/within-exchange variation of BTC attributes while keeping exchange/currency characteristics constant.



(3) Largely Unanticipated

- CME announced BTC-USD futures on 31 Oct. 2017 (introduction on 17 Dec.)
- CBOE launched BTC-USD futures on 10 Dec. 2017
- Google search volume index for a keyword, "bitcoin futures."



• Overall, this unique setting allows us to identify the impact of the introduction of futures in a DiD setup.

2. Importance of the question in current context $\odot OOO$

ext 3. Analysis 4. Conclusion Append 000000000 0 0000

Why does it matter?

- Regulatory debates about the benefits and detrimental effects of crypto derivatives and crypto products
 - Opposing views by regulators
 - Former CFTC chairman C. Giancarlo: "Regulators allowed the launch of BTC futures because it would pop the bitcoin bubble and make prices better reflect fundamental values."
 - Yellen calls bitcoin 'inefficient' (NYT Dealbook, 21 Feb 2021)
 - Canada's financial regulator approved its first Bitcoin ETF in Feb 2021.
 - CBOE officially filed with SEC to list shares of VanEck's bitcoin ETF (Mar 2021)
 - SEC consistently denies proposals for bitcoin ETFs until Oct 2021.

Introduction1. Why is This Event Unique and Useful?000000

2. Importance of the question in current context $\bigcirc \odot \odot \bigcirc \bigcirc$

 xt
 3. Analysis
 4. Conclusion
 Appendix

 0000000000
 0
 0000
 0000

Why does it matter?





- SEC finally approved Bitcoin futures-based ETF of ProShares (BITO) in Oct 2021.
 - SEC Chair Gary Gensler signaling in early August he's open to approving Bitcoin futures ETFs (rather than physical Bitcoin-based ETFs) because of a potential spot market manipulation.
 - The recent approval of Bitcoin futures-based ETF calls for a better understanding of the impact of Bitcoin futures market on spot market, and approximately a

Rapid Growth of Crypto Derivatives

- Growth of regulated crypto-derivatives
 - Many institutions not allowed to hold Bitcoin physically: Open Interest for Bitcoin futures on the CME exchange, increased by 116.1% from Feb 2020 to Feb 2021.
 - Options on bitcoin futures (CME, Jan 2020), Ethereum futures (CME, Feb 2021), Micro bitcoin futures (CME, May 2021)
 - Recent approval of Bitcoin futures-based ETF (Oct 2021)

Rapid Growth of Crypto Derivatives

- Growth of unregulated crypto-derivatives
 - Many cryptocurrency exchanges started to offer various types of derivatives contracts in their own exchanges.
 - The size of the unregulated crypto-derivatives market has increased dramatically.
 - \$21.1 trillion crypto derivatives trading volume in 2021Q2 surpassing spot trading volume (\$15.2 trillion).



11/26

 Introduction
 1. Why is This Event Unique and Useful?
 2. Importance of the question in current context
 3. Analysis
 4. Conclusi

 000
 000
 0000
 000000000
 0

Development of Hypotheses and Analysis

• Measure market characteristics of bitcoin spot markets (e.g., BTC-USD, BTC-EUR) using the past three month data at the end of each month.

*Characteristic*_{*i*,*j*,*t*} \in {*Synchronicity*, *Volatility*, *Efficiency*, *Quality*, *Liquidity*}

Follow literature for measuring market characteristics.

Impact of futures introduction on BTC-USD relative to BTC-CCY?

 $Characteristic_{i,j,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Treatment_{BTC-USD} \times Post_{futures} + \delta_i + \eta_j + \gamma_t + \varepsilon_{i,j,t}$

- fiat i
- exchange (or Pair) j
- month t
- In most saturated specifications, exploit within-exchange variation using $\eta_j \times \gamma_t$

Introduction	1. Why is This Event Unique and Useful?	2. Importance of the question in current context	3. Analysis	4. Conclusion	Арре
000	000	0000	000000000	0	000

Data

- Merge Kaiko and CryptoCompare
- July 1, 2016 and December 31, 2018
- 22 exchanges
 - Bitfinex, bitFlyer, Bitstamp, Bittrex, BTCbox, BTCC, BTC-e, Cex.io, Coinbase, Exmo, Gatecoin, Gemini, HitBTC, itBit, Kraken, LakeBTC, Liquid, OKCoin, Poloniex, QuadrigaCX, Quoine, and Zaif
- 10 bitcoin-fiat currency exchange rate pairs
 - BTC-USD vs. BTC-EUR, BTC-GBP, BTC-HKD, BTC-SGD, BTC-JPY, BTC-AUD, BTC-IDR, BTC-CAD, BTC-RUB
- 46 bitcoin-fiat currency-exchange pairs.

Differences-in-Differences Results - Price Synchronicity/Correlations

Panel A: Synchronicity ρ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Treatment	-0.053***	-0.001	-0.054***						
	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.012)						
Post	-0.073***	-0.054***		-0.070***					
1 001	(0.020)	(0.019)		(0.019)					
	(0.020)	(0.015)		(0.015)					
Treatment×Post	0.121***	0.110***	0.121***	0.119***	0.109***	0.050***	0.144***	0.050^{***}	0.073***
	(0.019)	(0.017)	(0.019)	(0.018)	(0.017)	(0.010)	(0.022)	(0.017)	(0.018)
N	4310	4310	4310	4310	4310	1586	3906	3606	1056
adj. R ²	0.030	0.370	0.081	0.054	0.437	0.812	0.440	0.456	0.510
Control	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	EUR	CCY*	X-M
Xchange-Pair FE		\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Month FE			\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Ccy FE				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Xchange-Pair $ imes$ Month FE						\checkmark			

• Std. dev of ρ of BTC-USD is 0.18.

Differences-in-Differences Results - Price Synchronicity/Correlations





Differences-in-Differences Results

	Volatility (σ)		Market q	uality (q)	Price Effi	ciency (D1)	Liquidity (λ)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Treatment_Post	-0.039**	-0.026*	0.036***	0.030**	-0.072**	-0.035**	-0.347**	-0.170**
	(0.017)	(0.015)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.031)	(0.013)	(0.153)	(0.080)
Ν	920	683	920	683	573	374	920	683
adj. R ²	0.827	0.839	0.539	0.589	0.663	0.792	0.749	0.847
std. dev.	0.2	22	0.0)76	0	.22	0.6	541
Control	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	EUR	EUR	ALL	ALL
Xchange FE	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark			
Month FE	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
Ccy FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
$X change \times M onth \ FE$		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark
$Xchange \times Ccy \ FE$							\checkmark	\checkmark

- Volatility decreases, market quality, price efficiency, and liquidity increase more for BTC-USD relative to BTC-CCY after the introduction of BTC futures.
- Magnitude is economically significant: 10-50% of std. dev.

Refinement - 4:00 p.m. Settlement Prices

- CME bitcoin futures cash settled using CME CF bitcoin reference rate (BRR) determined at 4:00 p.m. London time (itBit, Kraken, BitStamp, and GDAX)
- CBOE bitcoin futures cash settled using USD auction price for bitcoin determined at 4:00 p.m. Eastern time (Gemini).

Refinement - 4:00 p.m. Settlement Prices

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
	Panel A: M	arket quality	q, BTC-USD	vs. BTC-CC	(
End-of-day prices Settlement prices								
Treatment×Post	-0.001	-0.003	-0.003	0.028*	0.023*	0.012		
	(0.016)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.016)	(0.012)	(0.011)		
Ν	232	232	210	232	232	210		
adj. R ²	0.037	0.788	0.739	0.045	0.423	0.440		
Control	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL		
Xchange FE		\checkmark			\checkmark			
Month FE		\checkmark			\checkmark			
Ccy FE		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		
Xchange imes Month FE			\checkmark			\checkmark		
	Panel B	: Liquidity λ ,	BTC-USD vs.	BTC-CCY				
	E	nd-of-day pri	ces	Settlement prices				
Treatment×Post	-0.288**	-0.275***	-0.216***	-0.556***	-0.519***	-0.369***		
	(0.139)	(0.063)	(0.064)	(0.181)	(0.108)	(0.103)		
N	232	232	210	232	232	210		
adj. R ²	0.363	0.857	0.844	0.296	0.723	0.691		
Control	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL		
Month FE		\checkmark			\checkmark			
Xchange×Ccy FE		√	\checkmark		√	\checkmark		
Xchange×Month FE			\checkmark			\checkmark		

• The effect is stronger with returns measured at the settlement time.

Bitcoin price is likely more informative at the settlement time.

Channels - Liquidity and Attention

Panel A: Synchronicity ρ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment × Post × High Attention	0.059	0.069*	0.055	0.060	0.066	0.012
	(0.044)	(0.042)	(0.044)	(0.043)	(0.041)	(0.017)
Ν	4310	4310	4310	4310	4310	1586
adj. R ²	0.039	0.376	0.089	0.061	0.444	0.813
Panel B: Synchronicity ρ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
$Treatment \times Post \times High Liquidity$	-0.130***	-0.118***	-0.127***	-0.129***	-0.120***	-0.053***
	(0.022)	(0.021)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.021)	(0.010)
Ν	4310	4310	4310	4310	4310	1586
adj. R ²	0.086	0.373	0.136	0.099	0.441	0.813
Control	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Xchange-Pair FE		\checkmark			\checkmark	
Month FE			√		√	
Ccy FE				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Xchange-Pair $ imes$ Month FE						√

• No attention channel.

- Exchanges where liquidity was already high in the pre period had higher price synchronicity before the futures introduction.
- Qualitatively similar results for price efficiency, market quality, and liquidity.

2. Importance of the question in current context 0000

3. Analysis 4. Conclusion

Appendix 0000

Triangular Arbitrage

• Triangular arbitrage with fiat FX challenging (BTC-USD, BTC-EUR, USD-EUR)

- "customers from different countries can only trade cryptocurrencies on their local exchange and in their local currency" (Makarov and Schoar 2020; Dyhrberg 2021)
- USD-EUR not tradable on crypto exchanges
- Triangular arbitrage without fiat FX easier (e.g., BTC-USD, BTC-ETH, ETH-USD)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	BTC-USE) vs ETH–USD	ETH-US	SD vs ETH-CCY
		Synchro	onicity ρ	
Treatment×Post	0.068***	-0.006	-0.088	0.003
	(0.016)	(0.007)	(0.113)	(0.035)
Ν	3778	1376	777	60
adj. R ²	0.471	0.867	0.399	0.838
Xchange-Pair FE	√		\checkmark	
Month FE	\checkmark		\checkmark	
Ccy FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Xchange-Pair $ imes$ Month FE		\checkmark		\checkmark
			4	

Bitcoin vs. USD effect: ETH–USD vs. ETH–CCY

 Introduction
 1. Why is This Event Unique and Useful?
 2. Importance of the question in current context
 3. Analysis
 4. Conclusion
 Appendix

 000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 00000
 0000<

Introduction of Ethereum Futures (Preliminary Results)

- CME announced the launch of Ethereum futures on December 16, 2020. (introduction on Feburary 8, 2021)
- Data: CryptoCompare
- Pre: September 16, 2020 December 15, 2020
- Post: Feburary 8, 2021 May 8, 2021

Panel A: Synchronicity (ρ)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	-0.044***	-0.004	-0.044***			
	(0.009)	(0.005)	(0.009)			
Post	0.004	0.003		0.003		
	(0.002)	(0.002)		(0.002)		
Treatment×Post	0.055***	0.019***	0.055***	0.055***	0.019***	0.016**
	(0.008)	(0.004)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.004)	(0.006)
Ν	3172	3172	3172	3172	3172	1491
adj. R ²	0.059	0.678	0.073	0.065	0.700	0.233
Control	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Xchange-Pair FE		\checkmark			\checkmark	
Month FE			\checkmark		√	
Ccy FE				\checkmark	\checkmark	√
Xchange-Pair $ imes$ Month FE						\checkmark

troduction	1. Why is This Event Unique and Useful?	2. Importance of the question in current context	3. Analysis	4. Conclusion	Appendix
000	000	0000	000000000	0	0000

Robustness

- · Change data window for construction of market characteristics: 1m vs. 3m
- Change frequency of data for construction of market characteristics: daily vs. monthly, different window lengths (30 and 90 days)
- Different sample windows (e.g., excluding vs. including anticipation period)
- Alternative standard error corrections
- Results for individual liquidity metrics rather than aggregate liquidity factor
- Examine differences for manipulated and non-manipulated exchanges
- Placebo test using hypothetical dates of introduction of BTC futures

roduction	1. Why is This Event Unique and Useful?	2. Importance of the question in current context	3. Analysis	4. Conclusion	Appendix
00	000	0000	0000000000	•	0000

Conclusion

- Cryptocurrencies provide unique opportunity to shed new light on a long standing question.
- Do derivatives increase the "efficiency" of spot markets?
 - Yes, they do!, based on the impact on price synchronicity, volatility, price efficiency, market quality, and liquidity.

Future work

- External validity
- Channels
- Introduction of unregulated crypto derivatives.

troduction 1. Why is This Event Unique and Usef

2. Importance of the question in current context

arysis 4. Co

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Appendix •000

Measuring Market Characteristics

• Price synchronicity and integration (Kapadia and Pu 2012)

$$\rho_{i,j/j',t} = cov\left(r_{i,j,t}, r_{i,j',t}\right) / \left(\sigma_{i,j,t}\sigma_{i,j',t}\right)$$

$$\kappa_{i,j/j',t} = \sum_{k=1}^{M-\tau} \mathcal{I}\left(r_{i,j,k}^{\tau}r_{i,j',k}^{\tau} > 0\right)$$

Kapadia and Pu measure is normalized between -1 and 1.

- Volatility σ: annualized standard deviation of log returns
- Price efficiency (Hou and Moskowitz 2005)

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i,j,t} &= \alpha_{i,j} + \beta_{i,j} r_{m,t} + \sum_{n=1}^{4} \delta_{i,j}^{-n} r_{m,t-n} + \sum_{n=1}^{4} \phi_{i,j}^{-n} r_{i,j,t-n} + \varepsilon_{i,j,t} \\ D1 &= 1 - \left(\frac{Constrained \ R^2}{Unconstrained \ R^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Lower D1 means higher price efficiency.

Measuring Market Characteristics

Market quality estimated from MA(1) model (Hasbrouck 1993)

$$r_t = \Delta m_t + \Delta s_t$$
 $q = 1 - \sigma_s^2 / \sigma_r^2$

where m_t is efficient price and s_t is pricing error.

• Estimate $\{a, \sigma_e^2\}$ of the MA(1) model $r_t = e_t - ae_{t-1}$

$$q = \frac{\sigma_e^2 - 2a \cdot cov(e_t, e_{t-1})}{\sigma_e^2 + a\sigma_e^2 - 2a \cdot cov(e_t, e_{t-1})} \in (0, 1)$$

Higher *q* means better market quality.

- Liquidity: Price impact (Roll, Amihud), bid-ask spreads (CHL, Abdi and Ronaldo 2017), Volume
 - Aggregate liquidity factor (Dick-Nielsen, Feldhutter, and Lando 2012; Schwert 2017)

$$\lambda_{i,j,t} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{L_{i,j,t}^k - \mu^k}{\sigma^k}$$

We sign all variables so that a lower λ is associated with greater liquidity.

Differences-in-Differences - Price Integration

Panel A: Integration κ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Treatment	-0.065***	0.001	-0.065***						
	(0.020)	(0.016)	(0.020)						
Post	0.020	0.045**		0.023					
	(0.020)	(0.018)		(0.019)					
Treatment×Post	0.135***	0.121***	0.133***	0.132***	0.118***	0.047***	0.139***	0.080***	0.114***
	(0.019)	(0.017)	(0.019)	(0.018)	(0.016)	(0.009)	(0.020)	(0.024)	(0.026)
N	4310	4310	4310	4310	4310	1586	3906	3606	1056
adj. R ²	0.104	0.549	0.173	0.135	0.662	0.863	0.657	0.683	0.709
Control	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	EUR	CCY*	X-M
Xchange-Pair FE		\checkmark			~		~	\checkmark	\checkmark
Month FE			\checkmark		√		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Ccy FE				~	√	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Xchange-Pair $ imes$ Month FE						\checkmark			

• Std. dev of κ of BTC-USD is 0.22.

Channels - Liquidity and Attention/Price Integration

Panel A: Integration κ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment×Post×High Attention	0.038	0.052	0.037	0.040	0.051	0.001
	(0.048)	(0.045)	(0.047)	(0.046)	(0.044)	(0.017)
N	4310	4310	4310	4310	4310	1586
adj. R ²	0.115	0.549	0.184	0.145	0.662	0.866
Panel B: Integration κ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment×Post×High Liquidity	-0.137***	-0.119***	-0.132***	-0.135***	-0.118***	-0.041***
	(0.045)	(0.044)	(0.044)	(0.044)	(0.043)	(0.009)
N	4310	4310	4310	4310	4310	1586
adj. R ²	0.188	0.551	0.260	0.205	0.664	0.864
Control	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Xchange-Pair FE		\checkmark			\checkmark	
Month FE			\checkmark		\checkmark	
Ccy FE				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Xchange-Pair×Month FE						\checkmark